UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: PROTON-PUMP INHIBITOR PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. II)

MDL No. 2789 (CCC) (MF)

This document relates to:

Henry Lee v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP et al	
Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-20676	50

SECOND AMENDED SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this Second Amended Short Form

Complaint and Jury Demand against Defendants named below by and through their

undersigned counsel and as permitted by Case Management Order No. 7. Plaintiff(s)

incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Master Long Form

Complaint and Jury Demand in In re: Proton-Pump Inhibitor Products Liability Litigation,

MDL 2789, in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to

Case Management Order No. 7.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff(s)

1.	Name of individual injured/deceased due to the use of PPI Product(s):		
	Henry Lee		
2.	Consortium Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for loss of		
conso	tium:		

3.	Sur	vival and/or Wrongful Death Claims:
	a.	Plaintiff,, is filing this case in a representative capacity
		as the of the Estate of
		deceased.
	b.	Survival Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for survival
		claims, as permitted under applicable state laws:
4.	As	a result of using PPI Products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered pain and suffering,
emotio	nal d	listress, mental anguish, and personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to
have b	een o	caused by the use of the PPI Products identified in Paragraph 10, below, but not
limited	l to tl	ne following:
		injury to himself/herself
		injury to the person represented
		wrongful death
		survivorship action
		economic loss
		loss of services
		loss of consortium
		other:
TJ. 494	e	
<u> </u>	ncati	on of Defendants
5.	Pla	aintiff(s)/Decedent is/are suing the following Defendant(s) (please check all that

apply):

	Abbott Laboratories
\checkmark	AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP
\checkmark	AstraZeneca LP
	GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare Holdings (US) LLC
\checkmark	Merck & Co. Inc. d/b/a Merck, Sharp & Dohme Corporation
	Novartis Corporation
	Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation
	Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc.
	Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Inc.
	Novartis Consumer Health, Inc.
	Pfizer, Inc.
	The Procter & Gamble Company
	The Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Company
	Takeda Pharmaceuticals U.S.A., Inc.
	Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc.
	Takeda Development Center Americas, Inc. f/k/a Takeda Global Research & Development Center, Inc.
	Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited
	Other(s) Defendant(s) (please identify):

JURISDICTION & VENUE

<u>Jurisc</u>	liction:
6.	Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:
	✓ Diversity of Citizenship
	Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must be pled in
suffici	ent detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure)
Venue	9:
7.	District Court(s) in which venue was proper where you might have otherwise filed
this	Short Form Complaint absent Case Management Order No. 7 entered by this Court
and/c	or to where remand could be ordered: Western District of Missouri,
	estern Division
	CASE SPECIFIC FACTS
8.	Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State): Odessa, MO
9.	To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent used PPI Product(s) during llowing time period: approx. 2001-2007
10.	
	Plaintiff/Decedent used the following PPI Products, for which claims are being
asserte	Dexilant
	✓ Nexium
	Nexium 24HR
	Prevacid
	Prevacid 24HR

	Prilosec
	Prilosec OTC
	Protonix
	Other (List All):
11. The i	njuries suffered by Plaintiff/Decedent as a result of the use of PPI Products
include, amon	g others that will be set forth in Plaintiff's discovery responses and medical
records:	
	Acute Interstitial Nephritis (AIN)
	Acute Kidney Injury (AKI)
\checkmark	Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD)
	End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD)
	Dialysis
	Death
	Other(s) (please specify):
-	
-	
7	
12. At the	e time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis of injury, Plaintiff/Decedent
resided in (Cit	y, State): Odessa, MO

CAUSES OF ACTION

- 13. Plaintiff(s), again, hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.
- 14. The following claims and allegations asserted in the Master Long Form

Complaint and Jury Demand are herein more specifically adopted and incorporated by

reference by Pl	reference by Plaintiff(s) please check all that apply):	
\checkmark	Count I: Strict Product Liability	
\checkmark	Count II: Strict Product Liability - Design Defect	
\checkmark	Count III: Strict Product Liability – Failure to Warn	
\checkmark	Count IV: Negligence	
\checkmark	Count V: Negligenc Per Se	
\checkmark	Count VI: Breach of Express Warranty	
\checkmark	Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty	
\checkmark	Count VIII: Negligent Misrepresentation	
\checkmark	Count IX: Fraud and Fraudulent Misrepresentation	
\checkmark	Count X: Fraudulent Concealment	
\checkmark	Count XI: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State(s) of: Missouri	
	Count XII: Loss of Consortium	
	Count XIII: Wrongful Death	
	Count XIV: Survival Action	
	Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or	
Causes of Action	on against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph five (5) above. If Plaintiff(s)	
includes addition	onal theories of recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings,	
the specific fac	ts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a	
manner comply	ing with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:	

-
WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of
compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit and such further relief as
the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint
and Jury Demand, as appropriate.
JURY DEMAND
Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action. 12/22/2021 Dated:

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ James J. Rosemergy

Jeffrey J. Lowe
James J. Rosemergy
Sarah Shoemake Doles
Alyson M. Petrick
CAREY DANIS & LOWE
8235 Forsyth Blvd., Ste. 1100
St. Louis, MO 63105
Telephone: (314) 725-7700
Facsimile: (314) 721-0905
jlowe@careydanis.com
jrosemergy@careydanis.com
sdoles@careydanis.com
apetrick@careydanis.com